Case 1:04-cv-11942-GAO	Document 1	Filed 09/07/2004	AMOUNT \$ 750 SUMMONS ISSUED Y-F
UNITED S FOR THE DIS	MOF ISSUED		

Lawrence J. Mesite Plaintiff

V.

Newton Police Officer, Dawn Hough, Newton Police Officer Joanne Blay, Newton Police Officer Dina Vacca, The City of Newton, Superintendent, Kenneth Nelson and Bridgewater State Hospital

Defendants

COMPLAINT

MAGISTRATE JUDGE Collings

NO:

Plaintiff Demand A Trial By Jury To All Issues At Law And Equity

1.

The plaintiff, Lawrence J. Mesite, resides at 3 Rocdean Drive, No. 302, Nashua, New Hampshire 03063.

2.

The defendants, Newton Police Officer, Dawn Hough; Newton Police Officer, Joanne Blay; Newton Police Officer Dina Vacca are employed by The City of Newton Police Department, 1321 Washington Street, West Newton, Massachusetts 06465; The City of Newton. The defendant maintains a police department at 1321 Washington Street, West Newton, Massachusetts 06465.

The defendant, Superintendent Kenneth Nelson, is the superintendent of the Bridgewater State Hospital, 20 Administration Road, Bridgewater, MA 02324.

4.

On or about September 17, 2001, Officer Dawn Hough, Newton Police Department was on duty at Temple Reyin in Newton, Massachusetts. She was stationed at the rear exit of the parking lot in her personal vehicle.

5.

Hough saw Lawrence Mesite and Keith Bertrand enter the parking lot of the Temple Reyin and park the car among cars at the far end of the lot.

6.

Officer Hough approached Mesite after she saw Mesite crouched near the license plates at the front and rear ends of the car and inquired if Mesite and Bertrand needed any help.

7.

Hough only saw a screw driver in Bertrand's hand.

8.

Hough did not see either defendant remove any plates, nor did she see Bertrand or Mesite attach any plates.

9.

Hough requested identification from Mesite and Bertrand and they complied.

Hough called in backup and told Mesite and Bertrand to wait until she could verify Mesite and Bertrand's information.

11.

Mesite and Bertrand were out of the car during this time and remained out of the car at all times. Neither Mesite or Bertrand attempted to flee nor did they provide any false information.

12.

When the backup officers arrived a computer check of Mesite's license was run as were the plates attached to the car. The licenses were valid with no suspension or revocation noted. A Board of Probation record check of Mesite did not show any outstanding wants or warrants on either Mesite or Bertrand. The car was validly registered to Mesite.

13.

At this point Officer Blay instructed Bertrand to go to the car and get the license plates that were alleged to have been attempted to be placed on the car.

14.

Bertrand complied with Officer Blay's Order. These plate numbers were run. Those plates did not match. One initially was reported as stolen and the second plate was reported missing.

15.

Newton Police Officers Hough, Blay and Vacca conducted a plain view search of the vehicle including looking into the vehicle through the

windows. Nothing illegal was seen in plain view.

16.

The Officers proceeded to conduct an aggressive search of the interior of the automobile. While inside the car, Newton Police Officer Vacca checked the rear deck of the car and Newton Police Officer Blay searched the interior of the compartment by entering through the front door and climbing over into the back seat. While searching a red shopping bag in the back seat, Officer Blay found inside, ammunition, a .22 caliber revolver and a loaded Blacksmith and Wesson 9mm.

17.

These items as well as the license plates were taken from the interior of the car and were the subject matter of a motion to suppress. The defendants never secured a search warrant though they had ample opportunity to do so.

18.

Lawrence Mesite was arrested on September 21, 2001, Mesite was placed in solitary confinement for three (3) months pursuant to the illegal search and seizure.

19.

Mesite was placed in Bridgewater State Hospital under the supervision of Supt. Nelson. Nelson and Bridgewater State Hospital were responsible for the physical beatings Mesite suffered in their custody. Mesite was placed in danger of physical harm due to the illegal search and seizure.

Mesite was held in lieu of bail as a defendant waiting trial from September 17, 2001 until August 2, 2002 pursuant to the illegal search and seizure of the Newton Police Department and its officers.

21.

The defendants had a duty to Mesite as a defendant waiting trial to be held pursuant to 52A status. The defendants did not follow public policies in this matter.

22.

Mesite was not to be mixed in with the other inmates. Mesite was beaten up badly when placed into the general population. His rights pursuant to 52A were seriously violated by all defendants.

23.

Mesite was never given a speedy trial, he was held for eleven (11) months pursuant to evidence from an illegal search and seizure.

24.

Mesite was wrongfully incarcerated from September 17, 2001 until August 2, 2002.

25.

The Newton Police Officers involves in the illegal search had sufficient time and ability to get a search warrant. The matter was marked up for a Motion to Suppress.

On September 17, 2002 the Commonwealth dismissed the Mesite matter entering a nolle prosequi.

"The Motion to Suppress having been allowed in the Mesite matter, the Commonwealth has insufficient evidence to proceed."

Mesite spent eleven (11) months in prison, subject to physical beatings, placed in general population and never given a speedy trial due to illegal search and seizure by defendants.

COUNT ONE

Violations of 42 U.S. Code Section 1983

27.

The plaintiff incorporates paragraphs one through twenty-six by reference.

28.

Newton Police Officers Dawn Hough; Newton Police Officer Joanne Blay; Newton Police Officer Dina Vacca, The City of Newton and Bridgewater Superintendent Kenneth Nelson, under color of any state law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage of any state law caused Lawrence Mesite to be subjected to the deprivation of his First, Fourth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights secured by U.S. Constitution and laws are liable to Mesite injured in an action of law.

WHEREFORE, Lawrence Mesite demands money damages, actual or punitive, interest, costs and attorneys fees against all defendants in the above matter.

The plaintiff, By his attorney,

Damon Scarano, Esquire Attorney At Law 60 Commercial Wharf Boston, MA 02110

___ AMOUNT_____

RECEIPT #___

___ APPLYING IFP___

JS 44 (Rev. 12/96)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS LAWYER	KE J. M	SIE	•	DEFENDA Numar	ANTS VOVITA- Polic Parles	e o	shew many	office 10 and	Dawn e Blay veca c efecca	Harry Ar a	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE O	F FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	N. 4		COUNTY OF RE	SIDENCE OF F	FIRST LISTI	ED DEFENDAN	T MYCASES ONLY)	clesca		
(EXCEP)	I IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	363)		NOTE: IN L	LAND COND	EMNATION	ON CASES.	USE THE LOC	ATION OF THE		
DAMON 60 COM	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE N SCAYANO NOVUAL PANG BOSTON, MA O	<u>:</u>		ATTORNEYS (IF	KNOWN)						
. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (PLACE AN	X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		ITIZENSHIP or Diversity Cas	es Only)	CIPAL F DEF	PARTIE:	S (PLACE AN "X" AND ONE BOX	N ONE BOX FOR F FOR DEFENDANT PTF	D	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	73 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			itizen of This St				ted <i>or</i> Principa ess In This St	al Place 🗆 4	□ 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant		nship of Parties	nip of Parties		of Bus			ated and Principal Place 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9			
	ir terit sij			itizen or Subje Foreign Countr			Foreign N	ation	6		
		•	Reinstat) Transferred another di (specify)		□ & Multic Litigat		Appeal to Judge from Magistrate Judgment	m ,	
. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ON		1.					1 071			
CONTRACT	TC PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJ		FORFEITURE/			KRUPTCY DDeal 28 USC 1		IER STATUTE		
110 insurance 120 Marine 130 Millier Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	□ 316 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product	362 Personal injuny Med. Malprac 365 Personal injuny Product Liabili 368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product PERSONAL PROP!	tice / ty onal Liability	☐ 620 Other Food	d & Drug ed Selzure 21 USC 881 sck	□ 423 W 28	Ithdrawal S USC 157 ERTY RIGH opyrights atent	410 An 430 Ba 450 Co 460 De 470 Ra Co 810 Se Ex	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Sults 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	371 Truth in Lendir 380 Other Persona Property Darm Product Liabiti PRISONER PETI	age age ty	LABO 710 Fair Labor Act 720 Labor/Mgm	Standards nt. Relations	☐ \$61 HI ☐ \$62 BI ☐ \$63 DI	AL SECURIT IA (1395ff) ack Lung (923) IWC/DIWW (405 SID Title XVI	12	S91 Agricultural Acts S92 Economic Stabilization Act S93 Environmental Matters		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 280 All Other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 1440 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to Vac Sentence HABEAS CORPUS 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condit	cate i: Other	□ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act □ 740 Rathway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		□ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS - Third Party 28 USC 7609		900 Ap Un 17S	Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination		
I. CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S. Coéc	DO NOT CITE JURISDIC	TUTE UNDER WHICH YOU THOU STATUTES UNLE	SS DIVERS	SITY)	EF STATEMEN	T OF CAUS	SE				
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS I	S A CLASS ACT I	ON	DEMAND \$			CHECK YE		anded in com	plaint: NO	
III.RELATED CASE(S	S) (See instructions):	7 11	t			DOCK	KET NUMBER				
SCAP 7, 20	∞4 l	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORN	NEY OF RECORD)				-		

_ JUDGE_

_ MAG. JUDGE_

Occument 1 Filed 09/07/2004
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

			67 DADTV A	N EACH SIDE	ONLY	ANTUR	2 1	nesir	V.	Newpor
TLE (of Case	NAME OF FIR	DOWN	Houst						
		VHICH THE CA	SE BELONG	S BASED UP	ON THE NUM	BERED NATE	JRE OF	SUIT CODE	LISTED	ON THE CIVIL
		(SEE LOCAL								
OVE					NATURE OF	Sille.				
	1,						*Aiso	complete	A O 120 d	or AO 121
_	II.	740, 790, 7	91, 820*, 830*	, 840°, 850, 8	555,625,710 90,892-894,8	35, 350.	for p	atent, trade	emark or	copyright case
_	III.	110, 120, 1 315, 320, 3 380, 385, 4	30, 340, 345,	190, 210, 230, 350, 355, 360	, 240, 245, 29(, 362, 365, 37(), 310,), 371,				
_	ŧV.	220, 422, 4 690, 810, 8	23, 430, 460, 61-865, 870, 1	510, 530, 610 871, 875, 900.	620,630,64	0, 650, 660, /}				
	v.	150, 152, 1	53.		Θ	<u>. i</u>				C
HAS E	BEEN FILI	ED IN THIS DIS	INCI PLEA	hA				<u>.</u>	·	R RELATED CA EIN THIS COUR DINTHIS
COUF		ACTION BEIM	EER THE SA			YES		(N)		
								T OF CONG	DESS VI	FECTING THE
DOE:	S THE CO LIC INTER	MPLAINT IN T LEST? (SEE)	HIS CASE QI 28 USC §240:	UESTION THE 3)	E CONSTITUT	IONALITY OF	ANACI		KESS A	FECTING THE
						YES		6		
(FSC	O, IS THE	U.S.A. ORAN	OFFICER, A	GENT OR EM	PLOTEE OF	YES				
IS T	HIS CASE	REQUIREDT	O BE HEARD	AND DETER	MINED BY A	DISTRICT CO	URT OF	THREE JU	DGES PI	JRSUANT TO T
28 U	ISC §22 84	i? ~				YES		(HO)	
					ING GOVERN IMENTAL AG	MENTAL AG ENCIES"), RI	ENCIES ESIDING	OF THE UN IN MASSA	CHUSEI	ATES AND THE TS RESIDE IN T
SAA	NE DIVISK	ON? - (SEE LA	XXXL RULE	40.1(<i>D)</i> -		YE		(NO		
			MI WILIICH IV	A OC MONAV	LL OF THE N	ON-GOVERN	MENTAL	PARTES I	RESIDE	?
		ur tes,	IN HILLOND			L DIVISION		WE	STERN	DIAIZION
	A	EASPE	EN DIVISION							
	A. B.	EASTE		VISION DO TH GENCIES, R	IE MAJORITY ESIDING IN M	OF THE PLA ASSACHUSE	INTIFFS ETTS RE	OR THE O	NLY PAI	RTIES, EXCLUD
		IF RO, I		GENCIES, K	ie Majority Esiding in M	OF THE PLA ASSACHUSE L DIVISION	UNTIFFS ETTS R€:			NTES, EXCLUD
•	B. SE TYPE	IF RO, I GOVER EASTE OR PRINT	N WHICH DIV	GENCIES, K	ie Majority Esiding in M	A001211001	INTIFFS ETTS RE			
•	В.	IF RO, I GOVER EASTE OR PRINT	N WHICH DIV	GENCIES, K	ie Majority Esiding in M	A001211001	INTIFFS TTS RE			